IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

THURSDAY, THE 22ND NOVEMBER 2007 / 1ST AGRAHAYANA 1929

OP.No. 35806 of 2000(K)

PETITIONER:

SMT. VIJAYAMMA, W/O. NANAPPAN, CHEMPUCHIRA VEEDU, VAZHAPPALLY WEST CHANGANASSERY.

BY ADV. SRI.K.A.SALIL NARAYANAN

RESPONDENTS:
---

1. THE TAHSILDAR, TALUK OFFICE, CHANGANASSERY.

2. THE CHIEF WELFARE FUND INSPECTOR, KERALA TODDY WORKERS WELFARE FUND BOARD, ALAPPUZHA.

BY ADV. SRI.K.HARILAL, SC, KTWWF BOARD

THIS ORIGINAL PETITION HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 22/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

ORDER ON CMP 61106 OF 2000 IN OP 35806 OF 2000

DISMISSED

22.11.2007 SD/-C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE.

APPENDIX

PETITIONER'S EXHIBITS:

EXT.P1 TRUE COPY OF THE JUDGMENT RENDERED IN OP 31262 OF 2000

EXT.P2 TRUE COPY OF THE NOTICE ISSUED BY THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P3 TRUE COPY OF THE REQUEST MADE BEFORE THE 1ST RESPONDENT.

RESPONDENTS' EXHIBITS:NIL

TRUE COPY

P.S. TO JUDGE.

C.N. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

O.P. NO. 35806 OF 2000

Dated this the 22nd day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

Even though petitioner apprehends that demand of Toddy workers Welfare Fund contribution is for three years as against one year, namely, 1998-99, during which period petitioner was the licensee, counsel for the Welfare Fund Board submitted that demand is only for 1998-99, which the petitioner is liable to pay. Even though counsel for the petitioner submitted that appeal was filed against the same, I do not think that this Court should look into it because there was earlier direction vide Ext.P1 to dispose of the appeal. If appeal is not disposed of so far, it is open to the petitioner to file contempt.

O.P. is dismissed with the above observations.

(C.N. RAMACHANDRAN NAIR)
Judge